

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/596,783	06/19/2000	Carl J. Kraenzel	LOT9-2000-0006 US1	3747
27085 7	590 06/10/2003			
IBM CORPORATION LOTUS SOFTWARE ONE ROGERS STREET			EXAMINER	
			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R	
CAMBRIDGE	, MA 02142		ART UNIT PAPER NUME	
			2177	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

» ک <i>ن</i> رم	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~			
Advisory Action	09/596,783	KRAENZEL ET AL.	A			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a ion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.	n. See MPEP			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final Configuration of the final Con	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal, and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———	•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			. 1			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2	003 is a)⊠ approved or b)□	disapproved by the E	Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other: GRETA HO	BINSON— CAMINER ALL	Sathyanarayan Panr	nala			
	جمادك	• -				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues include: 1) "Service manager replicating a user browser..." 2) "Framework for fully functioning offline server." of claim 1, 2, 6-8, 14, 20-22.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Prior art by McGee (US Patent 6,393,468) do teach. As an example, the claim 1 limitation "a database for storing passwords" see at Fig. 3, col. 7, lines 65-67.